Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/914,212	ELLIS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Callie E. Shosho	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Callie E. Shosho</u> .	(3)	
(2) Richard Steinberg.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 April 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 21,24 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septimental directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. Pour It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septimental did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief septimental directly results in the Notice of Allowability. 	on. The examiner will provide a v ・+ エ べっこく parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Apr	oplicant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Steinberg in order to correct minor grammatical error in claim 21 and to correct claim 24 in light of the amendment to claim 21 as set forth in the amendment filed 2/4/04. Further, examiner noted that the top of each of pages 3-8 of the amendment filed 2/4/04 states the wrong application number. Mr. Steinberg stated that this was an inadvertant error and that all pages of the amendment are for present application 09/914,212.